FOREIGN BODY ON RIGHT LATERAL BORDER OF TONGUE THAT MIMICKS VARIX: A CASE REPORT
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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND Foreign body impaction in the oral cavity either due to traumatic injury or iatrogenically is not uncommon. Most commonly encountered iatrogenic foreign bodies are restorative materials such as amalgam, obturation materials, broken instruments and needles. Majority of foreign bodies are impacted in tonsils, base of tongue, maxillary sinus and vallecula. However, foreign body impaction in the mobile tongue is rare. We present a case of unusual foreign body impaction on the right lateral border of tongue appeared as bluish mass. A 40-year-old female military personnel came to Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery Department of 94 Armed Forces Hospital Terendak Camp complaining of bluish, painless mass on the right side of her tongue for 4 years. Excisional biopsy has been done and histopathological examination reveals foreign body impaction, likely amalgam tattoo. Amalgam tattoo can sometimes be confused with other foreign body pigmention, being then biopsied. Once amalgam tattoos have been established, the removal of lesions is not necessary, except for esthetic reasons.
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Introduction

Foreign bodies impaction in the oral cavity is not well documented as it is usually asymptomatic and easily removed by the patients themselves [1]. These foreign bodies include food and non-food objects such as dental materials, toothpicks, wooden materials, pencil tips, straws, plastics, glass and other metals [1]. These objects may be impacted in the cavities of the broken or fractured teeth, gums, tongue, floor of the mouth, tonsillar fossa and the jaw bones [2-4]. Occasionally these objects may be found incidentally since they are asymptomatic. However, the diagnosis of these should be based on thorough clinical history and examination. Histopathological examination is compulsory for a definitive diagnosis.

An oral venous varix, or varicosity, is a common type of acquired vascular malformation [5]. According to World Health Organisation (WHO), varix is regarded as a physiological process and is categorized as a normal variation that is not hazardous to oral health [6]. According to Ettinger (1974), varix is an acquired benign lesion of vein, artery or lymphatic vessels that is abnormally dilated and tortuous. Varices of the ventral surface of the tongue is the most common oral finding [7-8]. We described
a case of a 40-year-old female presented with bluish mass mimicking varix on the right lateral border of tongue.

**Case Presentation**

A 40-year-old female military personnel is referred to the Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, 94 Armed Forces Hospital, Terendak Camp in February 2019 with a complaint of persistent bluish mass on the right side of her tongue for 4 years (Fig. 1). The patient claimed that the mass is painless but gradually getting bigger in size. She has defaulted a treatment 2 years ago after being advised to excise the mass.

Upon examination, the patient appears to be fit, has no underlying diseases and is not under any medications. She denied of any systemic or infectious diseases. The pre-treatment photograph showed a single, smooth, and bluish coloured mass with the size of 3mm x 3mm on the mid right lateral border of the tongue (Fig. 1). The lesion is not tender to palpation, not indurated and fluctuant. Blanching test was done and shows negative. Besides, there is no associated ulcer or lymphadenopathy found and, differential diagnosis of varix, melanotic macule and naevus are given. The patient wishes to have the lesion removed as it looks deformed and unsightly.

![Fig. 1: Pre-treatment photograph showing bluish black mass on the right lateral border of the tongue](image)

Excisional biopsy of the bluish mass is performed under local anesthesia by using laser to control the bleeding (Fig. 2). The excised specimen is then sent to the laboratory for histopathological examination in order to get the definitive diagnosis of this case. A post-treatment photograph is taken (Fig. 3) for record purposes and the patient is advised to avoid eating spicy food, avoid consuming hot drink and avoid smoking for 1 hour after the treatment.
Histopathological examination shows deposition of fine, irregular brownish black particles in the connective tissue adjacent to the epithelium (Fig. 4a and 4b). No abnormal melanocytes or naevus cells are found. Staining with Fontana-Masson is negative. The appearance is consistent with foreign body tattoos. In view of the presence of amalgam restorations in the adjacent teeth (Fig. 5), it is most likely an amalgam tattoo. A review after one month of excision shows that the healing is monotonous and the patient is satisfied with the treatment performed (Fig. 6).
Fig. 4a and 4b: Slides of excised mass at 10x and 40x magnification respectively

Fig. 5: Presence of amalgam filling on adjacent teeth
Amalgam tattoo or amalgam pigmentation is the most common acquired pigmented lesion on the oral mucosa [9]. Amalgam is the most commonly used dental restorative material for dental fillings as it is relatively easy to pack and inexpensive. It is an alloy of liquid mercury with varying amounts of silver, tin, copper and zinc. Amalgam tattoos can be caused by amalgam splinters inadvertently implanted into the mucosa while restoring the tooth but may also caused by diffusion through the teeth [9-10]. Clinically it presents as grey, blue or black, nonblanching macules in the oral mucosa typically seen on the gingiva, alveolar, buccal mucosa or floor of the mouth [11]. Their appearance can be difficult to discern from other pigmented elements of the oral mucosa including mucosal varix.

Histologically, deposits of amalgam are seen as granules along blood vessels and collagen fibers or as solid fragments in the tissue [12]. In this case irregular brownish black particles in the connective tissue adjacent to the epithelium are consistent with foreign body tattoo. Amalgam tattoo are harmless and asymptomatic [13]. They can be safely diagnosed by the finding of radio-opaque granules on x-ray or with histopathology [11-12, 14]. However, these particles are often too small or too widely dispersed to be visible on X-rays, thus negative radiographic findings cannot rule out amalgam tattoo [15].

Generally, removal of amalgam tattoos is not necessary except for cosmetic reasons. If the pigmentation is unacceptable, surgical excision has been suggested. Q-switched ruby laser and Q-switched alexandrite laser have been used with favorable results [16]. In this case, laser is used to remove the pigmented mass as requested by the patient. However, by using laser, it can affect the histopathological appearance of lesion, hence not encouraged.

Conclusion

In conclusion, we report a case of an amalgam tattoo that caused oral pigmentation that resembles varix. Amalgam tattoo is difficult to be differentiated from other pigmented lesion clinically. Thus, removal followed by histological confirmation is necessary.
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